

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/071,604	WHITE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph D. Torres	2133	

All Participants:
Status of Application: RCE

 (1) Joseph D. Torres.

(3) _____.

 (2) Richard C. Himelhoch.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 August 2005
Time: 08/01/2005
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: *N/A*.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A telephone call was made to Richard C. Himelhoch on 8/29/2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Left message.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

JOSEPH TORRES
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)